Andrew)

Course: PA 311 01 Winter 2014

Wolber, Andrew

÷	Domograph	ic Informa	ation What is you	r ocodor	nie status?					
	Demograph	ic milorina	tion what is you	acauci	inc status:					
L	Freshman			0	0.00%					
2	Sophomore			1	10.00%					
3	Junior			3	30.00%					
	Senior			6	60.00%				<b></b>	
5 	Graduate			0	0.00%					
	Valid:	10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	3.500	Std Dev:	0.707	SE Mean:	0.224
2	Are you									
	Degree-seekir	ng		10	100.00%					
	Non-degree se				0.00%					
	Valid:	10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.000	Std Dev:	0.000	SE Mean:	0.000
3	What is you	r gender?								
	Male			4	40.00%					
	Female			6	60.00%				 <b>P</b>	
	Valid:	10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.600	Std Dev:	0.516	SE Mean:	0.163
+ 1						1.000	Stu Dev.	0.510	SE Mean.	0.103
-	Did you hav	e a strong	desire to take thi	s course	: <b>:</b> 					
L	Yes			5	50.00%					
2	No				50.00%					
	Valid:	10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.500	Std Dev:	0.527	SE Mean:	0.167
_										
:5	Undergradu	ates, pleas	se answer questio	ns 5-8; (	Graduate Students	, please skip	to question 9	Are you a t	ransfer student	t?
		ates, pleas	se answer questio			, please skip	to question 9	Are you a t	ransfer student	:?
L	Yes	iates, pleas	se answer questio	3	30.00%	, please skip	to question 9	Are you a t	ransfer student	:?
		iates, pleas	se answer questio	3	30.00% <b>3</b>	, please skip	to question 9	Are you a t	ransfer student	:?
	Yes No Valid:	10	Missing:	3	30.00%	, please skip	o to question 9	0.483	ransfer student	0.153
	Yes No Valid:	10		3 7	30.00% <b>3</b>					
6	Yes No Valid:	10	Missing:	3 7 0	30.00% T0.00% MEAN (Avg):					
6	Yes No Valid:  Is this cours	10	Missing:	3 7 0	30.00% T0.00% MEAN (Avg):		Std Dev:			
?	Yes No Valid: Is this cours	10	Missing:	3 7 0	30.00%	1.700	Std Dev:			
6	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid:	10 se in your i	Missing: intended major?  Missing:	3 7 0 7 3	30.00% T0.00% MEAN (Avg):	1.700	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
6	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak	10 se in your i	Missing: intended major?  Missing:	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu	30.00% 70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  70.00% 30.00%  MEAN (Avg):  cation requirement	1.700	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
6	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak	10 se in your i	Missing: intended major?  Missing:	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu	30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  70.00%  30.00%  MEAN (Avg):  cation requirements  30.00%	1.700	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
6	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak Yes No	10 se in your i	Missing: intended major?  Missing: ourse to fulfill gen	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu 3 7	30.00% 70.00%  MEAN (Avg): 70.00% 30.00%  MEAN (Avg): cation requiremen 30.00% 70.00%	1.700 1.300 1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
6	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak Yes No Valid:	10  10  10  ing this co	Missing: intended major?  Missing: ourse to fulfill gen  Missing:	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu 3 7 0	30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  70.00%  30.00%  MEAN (Avg):  cation requirement  30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):	1.700 1.300 ats?	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
7	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak Yes No Valid:	10  10  10  ing this co	Missing: intended major?  Missing: ourse to fulfill gen	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu 3 7 0	30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  70.00%  30.00%  MEAN (Avg):  cation requirement  30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):	1.700 1.300 1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
77	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak Yes No Valid:	10  10  10  ing this co	Missing: intended major?  Missing: ourse to fulfill gen  Missing:	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu 3 7 0	30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  70.00%  30.00%  MEAN (Avg):  cation requirement  30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):	1.700 1.300 1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
6	Yes No Valid: Is this cours Yes No Valid: Are you tak Yes No Valid: Are you tak	10  10  10  ing this co	Missing: intended major?  Missing: ourse to fulfill gen  Missing:	3 7 0 7 3 0 eral edu 3 7 0 nate req	30.00% 70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  70.00% 30.00%  MEAN (Avg):  cation requirement  30.00%  70.00%  MEAN (Avg):  uirements?	1.700 1.300 1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153

Wolber, Andrew

Andrew)

Course: PA 311 01 Winter 2014

		-						
. A			80.00%	ا گھاک کو ہوتا ہے۔ 				
2 B			20.00%		<b>!</b> 			
3 C			0.00%					
4 D			0.00%					
5 F		0	0.00%					
Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.200	Std Dev:	0.422	SE Mean:	0.133
What grade do you expec	t to receive fo	r this co	ourse?					
1 A		8	80.00%					
2 B			<u></u>		 			
3 C			0.00%		•			
4 D			0.00%					
5 F		0	0.00%					
<b>Valid:</b> 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.200	Std Dev:	0.422	SE Mean:	0.133
Neither Agree nor Disagree     Disagree     Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%					
5 Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%					
Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
Course objectives were cl	early commur	nicated.						
Strongly Agree		4	40.00%					
2 Agree		5	50.00%					
Neither Agree nor Disagree		1	10.00%					
4 Disagree		0	0.00%					
5 Strongly Disagree			0.00%					
Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.700	Std Dev:	0.675	SE Mean:	0.213
Class sessions were well o	rganized.							
1 Strongly Agree		6	60.00%				<b></b>	
2 Agree		4	40.00%					
Neither Agree nor Disagree		0	0.00%					
4 Disagree		0	0.00%					
5 Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%					

Wolber, Andrew

Andrew)

Course: PA 311 01 Winter 2014

	The instructor made pres	ciitations win	CII WEIE	unuer standable.					
1	Strongly Agree		5	50.00%					
2	Agree		5	50.00%					
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree		0	0.00%					
4	Disagree		0	0.00%		=======================================			
5	Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%		=======================================			
	Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.500	Std Dev:	0.527	SE Mean:	0.167
<b>#1</b> :	The instructor was respo	nsive to questi	ions fron	n students.					
1	Strongly Agree		7	70.00%					
2	Agree			30.00%				<del></del>	
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree			0.00%					
4	Disagree			0.00%					
5	Strongly Disagree			0.00%					
	Valid: 10	Mii			1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
11.4		Missing:		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1.300	Stu Dev.	0.463	SE Mean:	0.133
#10	6 The instructor demonstra	ited concern f	or stude	nt progress.					
1	Strongly Agree		7	70.00%					
2	Agree		3	30.00%					
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree		0	0.00%					
4	Disagree		0	0.00%		=======================================			
5	Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%		=======================================			
	<b>Valid:</b> 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.300	Std Dev:	0.483	SE Mean:	0.153
<b>#1</b>	7 I was given information a	bout how I w	ould be	graded.					
1	Strongly Agree			50.00%					
2	Agree			50.00%					
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree			0.00%					
4	Disagree			0.00%					
5	Strongly Disagree			0.00%					
	Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.500	Std Dev:	0.527	SE Mean:	0.167
#1								SZ Muni	
# 10	Methods used to evaluate	ıny understai	uaing of	me course materia	u were iair.	, 			
1	Strongly Agree		5	50.00%					
2	Agree		4	40.00%					
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree		1	10.00%					
	Disagree		0	0.00%					
4	S .								
<b>4</b> 5	Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%					

Wolber, Andrew

Andrew)

Course: PA 311 01 Winter 2014

O	ourse: PA 311 01							1	Winter 2		
į	The material presented	in this course c	halleng	ed me to think in n	ew ways.						
•											
1	Strongly Agree			60.00%				<b>!=</b> 			
2	Agree			40.00%							
3	Neither Agree nor Disagre	e 		0.00%							
4	Disagree			0.00%							
э 	Strongly Disagree										
	Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.400	Std Dev:	0.516	SE Mean:	0.163		
<b>#2</b> (	The quality of the instr	uctor for this co	ourse wa	as excellent (try to	set aside you	ur feelings abo	out the cour	se).			
1	Strongly Agree		6	60.00%							
2	Agree			30.00%				·			
3	Neither Agree nor Disagre	 e		0.00%							
4	Disagree		0	0.00%							
5	Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%							
	Valid: 9	Missing:	1	MEAN (Avg):	1.333	Std Dev:	0.500	SE Mean:	0.167		
ŧ21	The quality of this cour										
-	The quanty of this coul	se was excellen	і (пу ю	set aside your reen	ngs about t	ne msu uctor).	, 				
1	Strongly Agree		6	60.00%							
2	Agree		4	40.00%							
3	Neither Agree nor Disagre	е	0	0.00%							
4	Disagree		0	0.00%							
5	Strongly Disagree		0	0.00%							
	Valid: 10	Missing:	0	MEAN (Avg):	1.400	Std Dev:	0.516	SE Mean:	0.163		
‡22	Comment on what the	instructor does	well (pl	ease be specific)							
				final were nicely	done						
	Introduced new r	naterial and top	pics to u	is very well.							
	Very knowledgea	able on technol	ogy and	l how to use it to y	our benefit	t.					
	Reach out to the	students and he	eln tailo	or the class to help	them bette	r understand					
			_					mmanta ta airra			
		The class was interestingly structured, the professor knew his stuff, and used numerous assignments to give expose to different IT stuff. Interesting class									
					1 (TD)	1 61 1	• • •	.1 . 1			
				ever knew existed w a great deal abo							
				which I am already							
				at I learned as a d			5551161 0				
	Gives out good in										
	Gives out good in	iou ucuons									

very good information and reawl-world examples for IT in nonprofits and the public sector. Great instructor!

9 responses out of 10 students 90.00%

Excellent enthusiasm and knowledge pertaining to the material.

Course: PA 311 01 Winter 2014

Wolber, Andrew

**#23** Comment on what the instructor could do differently/better (please be specific)

Andrew)

The grading scale was very confusing

I like that the grades are based upon the others in the class, but now everyone is doing a ton of extra credit assignments at the last second, which brings there grade up, and mine down. So I either have to choose to get a lower grade or also do a ton of extra assignments at the last second because of the "class slackers". I would say don't count extra credit towards the class listings.

My only real issue is at the end his grading in blackboard was really messed-up, and confussing.... he knows what we are refering to... so I would just find different ways to show grades

I was pretty confused about how we were to be graded. The syllabus said one thing but he changed it a few times. Andy did take the time to answer all my questions and clearly communicate grading when I asked. I just wish the syllabus would have been a bit more black and white. ThatΓs really my only criticism of the course.

No suggestions

Perhaps not make the course sound so daunting in the beginning of the class when going over the syllabus. It wasn't that hard. But then you should definitely have us do a lot more. Like post once a week on plus or something.

7 responses out of 10 students 70.00%

#24 Additional comments

Great class!

Thanks for a great semester! This was a fun class.

4 responses out of 10 students 40.00%

10 out of 10 enrolled (100.0%) PA 311 01 - Wolber, Andrew Page 5 of 5 # Respondents: